

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Office Action of October 14, 2005, Applicant requests re-examination and reconsideration of this application for patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 132.

Claim status/ Support for Amendments

Claims 1-4, 6, and 9-21 remain in this application.

Claims 5, 7 and 8 have been canceled.

The examiner has acknowledged that claims 5-16 are directed to allowable subject matter.

No new matter has been added to the amendments to the claims made herein.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 5. Also, claim 1 has been amended to include at least one of the left panel, the right panel, the back panel and the front panel. This was done to consolidate the claims. Claims 5, 7, 9 and 13 were almost identical but were directed to each of the panels separately. The amendment to claim 1 consolidates these claims and reduces the number of repetitive claims in the application.

Claims 2-4, 6 and 9-21 now depend on allowable claim 1 or a claim which depends on allowable claim 1. Therefore claims 2-4, 6 and 9-21 should be allowable also.

Objections to the Claims

Claims 5-16 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 1 has been amended to include all the limitations of allowable claim 5.

Rejections under 35 USC 102(b)

Claim 1, 2 and 21, as originally presented, stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Robbins, III et al.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the allowable limitations of claim 5. Claim 2 depends on amended claim 1 and claim 21 depends on claim 2. Therefore claims 1, 2 and 21 as amended are directed to allowable subject matter.

Rejection under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 1-4 and 17-21, as originally presented, stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Robbins in view of Kumakawa.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 5. Claims 2-4 and 17-21 now depend directly on amended claim 1 or a claim which depends on amended claim 1.

Therefore claims 2-4 and 17-21 as amended are directed to allowable subject matter.

Telephone Interview

Applicant's representative wishes to thank the examiner for the courtesy in the telephone interview of November 30, 2005. The proposed amendments to the claims and the support for the amendments were discussed. The examiner agreed that these amendments were acceptable and the claims were allowable over the art of record.

SUMMARY

In light of the foregoing remarks and amendment to the claims, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner will now find the claims of the application allowable. Favorable reconsideration of the application is courteously requested.

Respectfully submitted,



William A. Cuchlinski
Registration # 57,159

McHale & Slavin, P.A.
2855 PGA Boulevard
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410
(561) 625-6575 (Voice)
(561) 625-6572 (Fax)

\\Ns2\SERVER\CLIENT FILES\1200-1299\1251 - SUNCAST\1251_000165 - UT PAT\Amendments\1251.165 resp template to
oa 10-14-05.wpd